Ondrej Kováčik

Parabolic Equations
in Generalized Sobolev Spaces $W^{k,p(x)}$

There are given the conditions for the solvability of an initial and boundary value problem for parabolic equations with coefficients of the type of variable powers.
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The problems concerning applications of Rothe’s method (method of discretization in time) in evolution differential equations are frequently published (See e.g. the references in the monography J. Kačur [1], where there is given large list of the papers on this subject).

Section 2.4 of this monography deals with solutions for a parabolic equation

$$
\frac{du}{dt} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha a_i(x, \delta_k u) = f(x, t),
$$

in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, where the functions $a_i(x, t)$ have some nonpolynomial growth in $y$.

In this papers we shall derive analogous results in the case, when the growth of $a_i$ is of the type of variable powers. We use some results from the paper of O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník [2]. Throughout this paper the terms measure, measurable etc. will mean the Lebesgue measure, Lebesgue measurable etc.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $R^N$ with Lipschitz boundary $\delta \Omega$ and let $I = (0; T)$ be a time interval with $T < \infty$. Denote by $Q = I \times \Omega$ the time-space domain and by $i = \{i_1, ..., i_N\}$, $i \in \{N_0\}^N$, so called multi-index with length $|i| = i_1 + ... + i_N$. We use the notation

$$
D^i \nu = \frac{\delta^{|\alpha|}}{\delta x_1^{i_1} ... \delta x_N^{i_N}}
$$
for the generalized derivative of a function \( v \) of order \(|i|\) over the space variable \( x = \{x_1, ..., x_N\} \) (in the sense of distributions) and \( \frac{dv}{dt} \) for the usual derivative over the time variable. By \( \delta_x v \) we denote the vector of all derivatives \( D^i v, |i| \leq k \), for which the arrangement of their components will be fixed.

In the paper [2] the authors deal with existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for the elliptic problem

\[
\sum_{|i| \leq k} (-1)^{|i|} D^i a_i(x, \delta_x u(x)) = f(x),
\]

(2)

\[
D^i u(x) = 0, |i| \leq k-1, x \in \delta \Omega \text{ (in the sense of traces)},
\]

(3)

where the coefficients \( a_i(x, y) \) have the growth of variable powers in \( y \). For this investigation there is applied the basic theory of generalized Sobolev spaces \( W^{k,p(x)} \).

By \( \pi(\Omega) \) we denote the family of all measurable functions \( p: \Omega \rightarrow [1; \infty] \). Suppose \( p \in \pi(\Omega) \). Define the functionals \( \sigma_p \) and \( \| \cdot \|_p \) on the set of all measurable functions on \( \Omega \) by

\[
\sigma_p(f) = \int_{\Omega - \Omega_\infty} |f(x)|^{p(x)}dx + \text{esssup}_{\Omega_\infty} |f(x)|,
\]

(4)

and

\[
\|f\|_p = \inf \{ \beta > 0 : \sigma_p(f/\beta) \leq 1 \},
\]

(5)

where \( \Omega_\infty = \{x \in \Omega : p(x) = \infty\} \).

The generalized Lebesgue space \( L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \) is the class of all functions \( f \) such that

\[
\sigma_p(a, f) < \infty \text{ for some } a = a(f) > 0
\]

is a normed linear space with the Luxemburg norm (5).

Define the conjugate function \( p'(x) \) for \( p(x) \in \pi(\Omega) \) by

\[
p'(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } x \in \Omega_\infty, \\
\infty & \text{for } x \in \Omega : p(x) = 1 \\
p(x)/(p(x)-1) & \text{for other } x \in \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

Then we obtain \( p'(x) \in \pi(\Omega) \).
The generalized Sobolev space $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the class of all functions $f$ measurable on $\Omega$ such that $D^i f \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ for every multi-index $i$ with $|i| \leq k$, endowed with the norm

$$
\| f \|_{k,p} = \sum_{|i| \leq k} \| D^i f \|_{p}.
$$

By $W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ we denote the subspace of $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ which is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (6).

The following propositions are valid (proofs see in [2]).

Lemma 1. The space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space and $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ will be its dual space if and only if $p \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. The space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is reflexive if and only if

$$
1 < \text{essinf} \frac{1}{p(x)} \leq \text{esssup} \frac{1}{p(x)} < \infty.
$$

Lemma 2. Let $p \in \pi(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then the set $C(\Omega) \cap L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is separable. If, moreover, $\Omega$ is open, then the set $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3. The spaces $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ are Banach spaces. They will be separable if $p \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and reflexive if and only if $p$ satisfies (7).

From the nonotone operator theory we get the following part on the solvability of the problem (2) and (3).

First, we shall say that a function $h: \Omega \times R^m \rightarrow R$, $m \in N$, satisfies the Carathéodory conditions ($h \in \text{CAR}(\Omega,m)$), if the function $h(\cdot, y)$ is measurable on $\Omega$ for every $y \in R^m$ and the function $h(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $R^m$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Lemma 4. Let $p \in \pi(\Omega)$ satisfy (7). Let $h \in \text{CAR}(\Omega,m)$, $m = \sum_{|i| \leq k} 1$, and let $0 \leq g \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $c > 0$ be such that

$$
|h(x, y)| \leq g(x) + c, \sum_{|i| \leq k} |y_i|^{p(x) - 1}
$$

holds for every $y \in R^m$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Let $i \in \{N_0\}^N$, $|i| \leq k$. Then the operator $T_i: W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega))^*$ defined by $(T_i u)(v) = \int_\Omega h(x, \delta_k u(x)) \, D^i v(x) \, dx$ for $u, v \in W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuous and bounded. (Proof see in [2].)
Now we consider a differential operator $A$ of order $2k$ of the form

$$
Au(x) = \sum_{|i| \leq k} (-1)^{|i|} D^i a_i(x, \delta_k u(x)),
$$

where the functions $a_i \in CAR(\Omega, m)$ fulfill the growth condition (8) with nonnegative $g \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $c > 0$. Let $V$ be a Banach space of functions on $\Omega$ equipped with a norm $\| \cdot \|_p$ and such that $C^\infty_0(\Omega)$ is dense in $V$ and, moreover, $W^{k,p(x)}_0(\Omega) \rightarrow V$, i.e. $W^{k,p(x)}_0(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $V$. By Lemma 2 we can punt $V = L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$. Let $f \in V^*$ and let us denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_v$ the duality on $V$. A function $u \in W^{k,p(x)}_0(\Omega)$ is a weak solution for the Dirichlet boundary value problem $(A, 0, f)$ for the equation

$$
Au = f
$$

with the boundary condition given by

$$
u \in W^{k,p(x)}_0(\Omega)
$$

and if the identity

$$
\sum_{|i| \leq k} \int_\Omega a_i(x, \delta_k u(x)) D^i v(x) \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle_v
$$

(10)

holds for every $v \in W^{k,p(x)}_0(\Omega)$.

From the Lemma 4 we obtain, that the operator

$$
T: W^{k,p(x)}_0(\Omega) \rightarrow (W^{k,p(x)}_0(\Omega))^*,
$$

defined by the left-hand side of (10), is continuous and bounded.

**Theorem 1.** Let $p \in \pi(\Omega)$ satisfy (7). Let the functions $a_i$ satisfy (8) and let the conditions

$$
\sum_{|i| \leq k} [a_i(x, y) - a_i(x, w)] (y_i - w_i) \geq 0,
$$

(11)

$$
\sum_{|i| \leq k} a_i(x, y) y_i \geq c_1 \sum_{|i| \leq k} |y|^{p(x)} - c_2
$$

(12)

hold for every $y, w \in \mathbb{R}^m$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$. Then the boundary value problem $(A, 0, f)$ has at least one weak solution $u \in W^{k,p(x)}_0(\Omega)$. If, moreover, the inequality (11) is strict for $y \neq w$, then the solution is unique. (See in [2].)
The part 2.4 of [1] deals with the solutions of the parabolic equation (1). With respect to the nonpolynomial growth of functions $a_i$ the corresponding space, in which the problem is formulated, is the Orlicz–Sobolev space $W^kL_G$ (see e.g. [3]), which (in general) is nonreflexive. Moreover, the corresponding operator $A$ defined by (9) is assumed to be not everywhere defined and (in general) noncoercive.

The conditions for the solvability of the equation (1) will be obtained as a consequence of the solvability conditions for an abstract parabolic problem

$$\frac{du}{dt} + Au = F, \quad u(0) = u_0, \text{ a.e. } t \in I,$$

where $A$ is a pseudomonotone operator with respect to a complementary system $\{Z, Z_0, Y, Y_0\}$. Denote by $D(A)$ the domain of the operator $A$, which maps $D(A) \subset Y$ into $Z$, where $Y, Z$ are Banach spaces. In general, the operator $A$ will be nonreflexive and not coercive and $D(A)$ will be not a dense set in $Y$. Suppose that $Y$ and $Z$ are in duality with respect to a continuous pairing $\langle z, y \rangle$ for $z \in Z$ and $y \in Y$. Let $Y_0, Z_0$ be subspaces of $Y$ and $Z$, respectively. The system $\{Z, Z_0; Y, Y_0\}$ we call to be a complementary system if, by means of $\langle ., \rangle$, $Y_0^*$ can be identified (i.e. is linearly homeomorphic) to $Z$ and $Z_0^*$ to $Y$ with usually defined norms on $Y, Y_0, Z, Z_0$ according to the duality.

A real valued function $G(s)$ is said to be an N-function if it satisfies: $G(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$, $G(s)s^{-1} \to \infty$ for $s \to \infty$, $G(s)s^{-1} \to 0$ for $s \to 0$, $G(s)$ is convex and even for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us put $L_G$ the linear hull of the set

$$\{u \in L^1(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} G(u(x)) dx < \infty\}.$$

It is a Banach space (Orlicz space) with respect to the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_G = \inf \{r > 0; \int_{\Omega} G(u/r) dx \leq 1\}.$$

The closure in $L_G$ of the set of all bounded measurable functions in $\Omega$ is denoted by $E_G$.

The function $G(s)$ is said to satisfy the $\Delta_2$—condition if there exists a $k > 0$ such that $G(2s) \leq k \cdot G(s)$ holds for $s \geq s_1$ for some $s_1 > 0$. The inclusion $E_G \subset L_G$ takes place; the equality $E_G = L_G$ holds if and only if $G(s)$ satisfies the $\Delta_2$—condition. By $G(s)$ we denote the N-function conjugate to $G(s)$ with respect to the Young inequality.

Then the system $\{L_G, E_G; L_G, E_G\}$ is a complementary system with respect to the pairing

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} uv dx.$$
By $W^k L_G$ there will be denoted the set $\{u \in L^1(\Omega); D^i u \in L_G \text{ for all } |i| \leq k\}$ with an equivalent norm
\[
\|u\|_{k,G} = \left( \sum_{|i| \leq k} \|D^i u\|_G^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Another equivalent norm has the form
\[
\|u\|_{k,G} = \sum_{|i| \leq k} \|D^i u\|_G.
\]

Denote by $W^k_0 L_G$ the closure of $C^\infty_0(\Omega)$ in $W^k L_G$ and by $W^k_0 E_G$ the intersection $W^k_0 L_G \cap \left( \prod_{|i| \leq k} E_G \right)$. Let us take $Y = W^k_0 L_G$ and $Y_0 = W^k_0 E_G$. Then we obtain $Y^* = Z = (W^k_0 E_G)^*$ and $Z_0 = (W^k_0 E_G)^*$. From here we can conclude, that this system $\{Z, Z_0; Y, Y_0\}$ is a complementary system with respect to
\[
\langle w, v \rangle = \sum_{|i| \leq k} \int_\Omega D^i v w_i \, dx \text{ for } v \in Y, \ w = \{w_i\} \in Z = W^k_0 E_G)^*.
\]

Let $M$ be the class of all continuous functions $g(u)$ in $R$ satisfying: $g(u) \to \infty$ for $u \to \infty$, $g(u)$ is odd and $u \cdot g(u)$ is convex for $u \geq u^0 > 0$, where $u^0$ is sufficiently large. We can verify that for any $g \in M$ there exists an N-function $G(u)$ (not uniquely determined) such that $G(u) = u$, $g(u)$ for all $u \geq u_0$ and all these N-functions are equivalent and generate the same Orlicz space $L_G$.

Using of the Rothe's method the next theorem is proved.

**Theorem 2.** Let the functions $a_i$ satisfy the conditions:

(i) $a_i(x, y)$ for $|i| \leq k$ are real valued functions for $x \in \Omega$, $y \in R^n$ which are measurable in $x$ for fixed $y$ and continuous in $y$ for fixed $x$;

(ii) $|a_i(x, y)| \leq a(x) + c_1 \sum_{|i| \leq k} |g(c_2, y_j)|$ where $g(u)$ is some function from $M$, $a(x) \in E_G \cdot (\Omega)$, $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 > 0$;

(iii) $\sum_{|i| \leq k} [a_i(x, y) - a_i(x, w)] (y_i - w_i) \geq 0$ for all $y, w \in R^n$, $x \in \Omega$;

(iv) $\sum_{|i| \leq k} [a_i(x, y) y_i] \geq c_3 \sum_{|i| \leq k} g(y_i/r) y_i - c_4$ for some $r > 1$, $c_3, c_4 > 0$ and for all $x \in \Omega$, $y, z \in R^n$.

Let there be considered the initial and boundary conditions for the equation (1) in the form
(13) \[ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \]

(14) \[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times I \text{ for all } l = 0,1,\ldots,k-1, \]

where \( n \) is the outward normal to \( \partial \Omega \).

Suppose \( f, \frac{df}{dt} \in L^2(\Omega) \), \( u_0 \in D(A) \cap L^2 \) and \( Au_0 \in L^2 \). Then there exists a unique (weak) solution

\[ u \in L^\infty(I, W_0^k L_G \cap L^2) \]

of the problem (1), (13), (14) in the following sense:

(a) \( u: I \rightarrow L^2 \) is Lipschitz continuous, \( u(t) \in D(A) \) for all \( t \in I \) and \( u(x,0) = u_0(x) \);

(b) \( \frac{du}{dt} \in L^\infty(I,L^2) \), \( Au \in L^\infty(I,L^2) \);

(c) the equality

\[ \left( \frac{du}{dt}, v \right) + \sum_{\mid l \mid \leq k} \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, \delta_{\xi} u) D^l v \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle \]

holds for all \( v \in W_0^k L_G \cap L^2 \) and for a.e. \( t \in I \).

(See Theorem 2.4.23 in [1].)

Remark. We now compare the conditions from the Theorem 2 with the conditions from the Theorem 1. Suppose \( p \in \pi(\Omega) \) and the inequality (7). Denote \( p^* = \text{ess sup } p(x) \) on \( \Omega \). Then the function \( G(u) = |u|^{p(x)} \) is a Young function satisfying the \( \Delta_2 \)-condition and \( W^k L_G = W^k E^*_G = W^k,p(x) \). \( W_0^k L_G = W_0^k,p(x) \) and \( (W_0^k L_G)^* = (W_0^k E^*_G)^* = (W_0^k,p(x))^* \) take places. Then we can easily verify that the system

\[ \{(W_0^k,p(x))^*, (W_0^k,p(x))^*; W_0^k,p(x), W_0^k,p(x)\} \]

is a complementary system in the sense mentioned above. The conditions (8), (11), (12) are equivalent to the conditions (ii), (iii), (iv) supposed their validity for all \( x \in \Omega \). Moreover, the condition (iv) follows from (12). The boundary condition (14) is, evidently, equivalent to the condition (3') for fixed \( t \in I \).

According to this Remark we obtain the next theorem,

Theorem 3. Let \( p \in \pi(\Omega) \) satisfy (7). Let the functions \( a_i \) satisfy (8), (11), (12) for every \( y, w \in R^n \) and for all \( x \in \Omega \), where the inequality (11) is strict for \( y \neq w \). Let the conditions (13) and (3') be considered. Suppose
\[
\frac{df}{dt} \in L^2(\Omega), \quad u_0 \in D(A) \cap L^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Au_0 \in L^2.
\]

Then there exists a unique solution \( u \in L^\infty(I, W_0^{1,p(x)} \cap L^2) \) of the problem (1), (13), (3') in the sense of (a), (b), (c), where the equality (15) holds for all \( v \in W_0^{1,p(x)} \cap L^2 \) and for a.e. \( t \in I \).
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